(a. 19822

HISTORY OF THE OFFICE OF OPERATIONS CHAPTER I

SECTION A - INCEPTION AND FIRST PHASES - 1946

1. Activation. The creation of an "Office of Operations" within the Central Intelligence Group seems to have been first discussed in Frankfurt, Germany, in the early summer of 1916. The Director of Central Intelligence, Lt. Gen. Hoyt S. Vanderberg, who was attending the conference of Military Attaches in Frankfurt, asked Brig Gen. Edwin L. Sibert, then AC of S, G-2, USFET, to come to Washington to supervise the collection of foreign intelligence information by the newly-formed C.I.G. As if to foreshadow later debates on the subject, the question of whether the existing overt and covert collection activities should be combined under one directing headquarters was raised at this meeting, but it was decided to postpone a decision until General Sibert came on duty.

	case on oney.	Ì
	Two weeks after his arrival, the Office of Operations was officially	
ILLEGIB	activated by C.I.G. on 17 October 1946, to	SPEC
STATSF	Depensist of the "B" Deputy Staff, transferred from the Office of Special	3FEC
	Operations and redesignated the "Commercial Contacts Branch," and the	
	transferred from the Office of	
	Collection and Dissemination. The history of these units before their transfer	
	and the total street we and www thickness the endow down and conform of	

Collection and Dissemination. The history of these units before their transfer to 00 is given in Chapters II and III. Although the order does not assign a specific mission to the Office, it implies the continued existence of OSO for covert collection functions and the continued responsibility of OCD for collecting material from other government agencies, and thus indicates the type of collection to be undertaken by 00.

2. Functions. A formal, if somewhat ambiguous, statement of the mission and functions of the Office was drafted during the following month

000002

	and approved on 19 November 1946, stating "The Mission of the Office of	
	Operations is to effect collection of intelligence information for C.I.G.,	
STATSP	through coordination and supervision of designated C.I.G. organic collection EC agencies.* The directive "initially" establishes the re-named	STATSPEC
Г	and the Contact Branch (00/C)	; ; !
L	as organic collection agencies. It indicates that	STATSPEC
	broadcasts of foreign nations, a prepare and distribute transcriptions, and	
	arrange for world-wide coverage through and through	STATSPEC
	reciprocal arrangements with similar national or foreign activities. It	
	assigns Contact Division the function of collecting foreign intelligence	
	information "through the medium of American business concerns and scientific,	
	educational and religious organizations with connections abroad, and American	į
	residents travelling abroad," provides for coordination of the domestic	•
	collection activities of "member agencies" (i.e. the IAC agencies) through	W .
	the establishment of inter-agency field offices in the US and through	:
	"coordinating directives," and indicates that field operations may use "such	i : !
	cover as may be appropriate". The directive further indicates that no	!
	research and evaluation functions will be carried on by 00 except the grading	
	of information as to the reliability of source and that ORE will provide	
	00 with evaluations of "information obtained through each source."	į
	3. Staffing. During the first six months of its existence, 00	
	operated without any approved T/O, borrowing positions or having its personnel	
	on 7 Movember 1946, officially assigned to other Offices. The appointment/of a Deputy Assistant 25×1A	•
	Director for Operations, was made possible only through	
	the use of unvouchered funds. The personnel records of early employees of	
	00 headquarters look as if the Office staff had been assembled from every	
	other unit in C.I.O., whereas they were actually recruited from outside the	

Agency and carried against OSO or OHE T/O positions which they never held.

Early in October, the AD/O asked for a staff of 3 sections and 18 people for his immediate office; on 18 December 1946, however, he officially revised this request to total 11 positions, including thee for a "Planning and Coordination Staff", one high-level Administrative Assistant, and one trainee slot. A ceiling of 10 positions was established for 00 headquarters on 5 February 1947, but the tentative T/O was not approved until 16 April 1947. Similar delays were experienced in obtaining the first authorized T/O's and position STATSPEC.

h. Transfer of Documents Branch to 00. The initial assignment to the Office of Reports and Estimates of the forerunner of Foreign Documents Division, the "Washington Documents Center", may have been partially due to these T/O difficulties as well as to the active role played by representatives or ORE in the first negotiations for the transfer of this activity from the War and Navy Departments to C.I.G. (See Chaper IV) On 31 December 1946, however, a retreactive order was issued assigning WDC to 00, "effective 1 December 1946," designating it as the Documents Branch, and indicating that its primary function was "to receive foreign documents for cataloguing, summarising, and translation." (See Chaper IV)

SECTION B - EARLY DEVELOPMENT - 1947

Thus by January 1947, a year after the creation of the C.I.G., the Office of Operations was functioning with a small headquarters staff and three major collecting components in much the same form and with virtually the same mission under which it operates today. The history of the Office during the intervening five years is not marked by any major reorganizations or radical changes in function. It shows a gradual development through the addition of new responsibilities always related to those already carried by

the Office, and a slow but steady expansion of personnel and facilities to respond to the increasing requirements for information from overt sources and for the services provided by the Office. Changes in the statement of the "mission" of 00 (see Appendix I) reflect the variations in the official concept of the position and functions of CIA within the governmental intelligence structure rather than any actual changes in the operations or objectives of the Office.

During 19h7, several new activities proposed by one or amother of the IAC agencies were undertaken by 00, and one was turned down. As requested by the War Department, C.I.G. took on further functions with respect to the intelligence exploitation of captured German documents and the preparation of an Industrial Card File therefrom (see Chaper IV, Section B). These were largely assigned to the Documents Branch, which was simultaneously re-named "Foreign Documents Branch (FDB, now FDD). In September, two months after G.I.G. had become G.I.A. by the passage of the Mational Security Act of 19h7, Maj. Gen. George G. McDonald, the Director of Intelligence, Department of the Air Force, made a similar suggestion that CIA should take over such technical intelligence functions of T-2, Wright Field (Air Materiel Command Intelligence) as might better be performed centrally. After an inspection of T-2 by representatives of IGAPS and 00, it was decided on 23 October 19h7 that none of the functions of T-2 were of "such common concern to IAC members" that they should be assumed by CIA.

STAT

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Foreign Documents Branch. This exploitation of foreign language publications by FDB had developed partly as a result of its common service function with respect to documents captured in World War II and partly in response to requests from CIA and the other IAC agencies for coverage of more current foreign language material. Despite these requirements and repeated discussion of the scope and wording of an MSCID during the past three years by Ad Hoc Committees, the Standing Committee of the IAC, and even by the Intelligence Advisory Committee (see Chapter IV, page 40 and A Appendix V) final agreement on the terms of such a directive has not yet been reached. Following various IAC requests for translation service by CIA and a proposal on 25 April 19k9 by the Deputy Director of Intelligence. General Staff, U.S. Army that CIA undertake the "translation of intelligence material of recognised joint interest" it was decided by the Director of Central Intelligence (Memorandum to IAC members dated 9 May 1919) that centralisation of the translation function was impractical but that CIA would "coordinate the intelligence-translation effort by master index," would assist the IAC agencies by providing a "limited amount of translation service in certain less common languages" and would "continue the exploitation of foreign language material of joint intelligence interest" within its capabilities. All of these services have been continued up to the present and constitute part of the current functions of Foreign Documents Division. The question of an MSCID to authorise CIA to coordinate the procurement and exploitation of foreign language publications, for intelligence purposes, and to perform certain centralised documentary functions, is still

	under active consideration. (See Appendix IV)	
	SECTION E - CHANGES IN 1948 STATSPEC	,
	The growth and development ofand Contact Branch, subsequent	
	to the assignment to them of definite responsibilities by	S
	7, respectively, and the gradual assumption of new functions by FDB on the	
	basis of internal directives are described in detail in the following	
	chapters. During 1948 the total "on duty" strength of the Office of Opera-	j
	tions increased more rapidly than at any other period during its history	
	(from employees, see Appendix II); this increase was effected	
	in spite of concurrent T/O cuts totaling more than 50 positions. Internal	
	reorganisations took place in Contact Branch and FDD, the latter establish-	
	ing a "translation service" for CIA offices and arranging for linguist	
	personnel awaiting clearance to work in an "unclassified pool." 00 head-	
	quarters and Contact Branch also moved from 2210 E Street to the Yards and	and the second s
	Docks Building of the Navy Department early in the year. Despite various	
	subsequent moves, the physical separation of the components of the Office	
	and the location of FDB andin separate buildings at some distance	ATSF
	from the Office of the Assistant Director has unfortunately continued	
	throughout the history of the organization. In June 1948, General Sibert	
١	usar, was simultaneously appointed Deputy Asst. Dir.	
	and held this position until he left the Agency a year later.	!
	SECTION F - THE "DULLES REPORT" and NSC 50	•

1. "Dulles Report." In their investigation of CIA and the national organisation for intelligence, the Jackson-Dulles-Correa Survey Group made TAT

PEC

a careful study of the activities of 00 during the course of 1948. In	
their report to the National Security Council on 1 January 1919, they	
concluded that 00 consisted of three distinctive activities, represent-	
ing "useful and recognised functions in their own field" but without any	
particular relation to each other. On the basis of (a) the assistance	
which Contact Branch could provide to OSO and OPC in arranging	STAT
(b) the relationship between aliens and foreign nationality	
groups in this country and the overseas operations	STAT
(c) the similar problems facing OSO and OO/C field collection operations	
and consumer requirements and (d) the comparable need for security and	
source protection, the Committee recommended that Contact Branch be inte-	
grated with OSO and OFC under single over-all direction (in a new "Opera-	
tions Division") within CIA. It recommended that FDB become part of a	
proposed "Research and Reports" Division on the basis that its work should	
be performed in close relationship to and under the guidance of the con-	
sumer agencies. In view of this dispersal of 00 functions, the Committee	
had some difficulty in deciding where should be placed; as a purely	STATSPEC
overt activity, it was unsuitable for inclusion with the covert offices,	
and as an operating function, it did not fit into the static "Research"	
divisions. The Committee concluded that ifremained a part of CIA,	STATSPEC
it should probably be administered by the new "Operations Division," but	•
its product should be immediately available for analysis by the research	
components. STATSPEC	
2. MSC 50. This recommendation concerning was one of the few	

STAT

- 8 -

elements of the Dulles Report which was specifically disapproved by the

National Security Council. NSC 50, issued on 1 July 19h9, indicated that the proposed division should include only covert and semi-covert activities conducting field operations (OSO, OPC, and Contact Branch, OO) and that it should not administer ______ The recommended transfer of FDB to a "Research and Reports" unit was approved.

STAT\$PEC

The continued existence of the Office of Operations, as such, was therefore in question during a long period after the issuance of NSC 50. Since other major provisions of this paper were not implemented immediately. those affecting 00 were also left in abeyence. In addition, it was felt that the ocumen function of the three 00 divisions, the direct operational collection of foreign intelligence information from overt sources was not sufficiently recognised by the Dulles Committee. Although the amount of information obtainable by overt operations was emphasised in their report, the need for coordinated direction of the various aspects of the overt collection function. as performed by CIA, was not considered. This need was particularly obvious with respect to _____-FDB activities, due to the inherent overlap between foreign radio and press materials and the closely related operations of the two branches in the fields of translation and general coverage of foreign language sources. Another factor militating against the transfer of FDB to the Office of Research and Reports after the formation of the latter, was the specialisation of ORR in economic and geographic research. Since OSI, OSO, OPC, and the IAC agencies all had need of FDB's services, to varying extents and in different fields, it was felt that the branch should not be controlled by one of the Agency's two research units. The "static"

STAT\$PEC

functions of the latter, as indicated by the Dulles Committee, were to be concerned with the evaluation, production, and coordination of intelligence as opposed to the conduct of operational activities. The considerations preventing transfer of the Contact Branch to the new "Operations Division" were rather different in nature: as a "field intelligence collection operation," within the U.S., its functions were believed by the Dulles Committee to be analogous to OSO's collection activities overseas. Nevertheless, the Committee itself stated that 00/C sources "do not wish to be embroiled in anything that resembles espionage, despite their eagerness to place at the disposal of the Government information which they acquire in their normal course of business." This fact had been borne out by the of which had first been established experience of the but had found within a few months that under this semi-covert status merely complicated its relationships with businessmen and other sources.